

UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/590,211	06/08/00	ROULEAU		G	3028.1000-00
-		· ¬ [EXAMINER
DAVID E BROOK ESQ				WOITACH, J	
HAMILTON BRO	OOK SMITH &	REYNOLDS P	С	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TWO MILITIA _EXINGTON MA		9		1632 DATE MAILE	o: 09/26/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

· •		Applicati r	No.	Applicant(s)				
	V ,	09/590,211		ROULEAU ET AL.				
	Offic Acti n Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Joseph Wo	itach	1632				
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 August 2001</u> .							
2a)□ ¯	Γhis action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is n	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌 C	laim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ C	laim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requ	irement.					
Application	n Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)□ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	pted or b)	bjected to by the Exar	niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) 🔲 Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) <u> </u> ap	proved b)∏ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/590,211

Art Unit: 1632

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a continuation of PCT/CA98/01133, filed December 7, 1998 which claims benefit to foreign application 2 218 199, filed December 9, 1997 in Canada.

Applicants amendment filed August 27, 2001, paper number 8, has been received and entered. The application is now in sequence compliance.

Claims 1-36 are pending and currently under examination.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, 31 and 32, drawn to an isolated PAB II gene comprising a GCG repeat and a diagnostic method of use, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, class 435, subclass 6.
- II. Claims 19-27, drawn to a transgenic animal that expresses at least one allelic variant of PAB II and methods of use, classified in class 800, subclass 13, class 800, subclass 3.
- III. Claims 28-30, drawn to a cell that expresses at least one allelic variant of PAB II, classified in class 424, subclass 93.2.
- IV. Claims 33-36, drawn to a method for diagnosing a disease in a patient comprising detecting a trinucleotide repeat of any gene, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

Art Unit: 1632

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are distinct because they are capable of separate uses. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because the products or methods appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions representing distinct inventions. The nucleic acid can be used as a hybridization assays, the animals containing one allelic variant of the PABII gene can be used as an animal model for muscular dystrophy, and the cell containing one allelic variant of the PABII gene can be used for in vitro studies to study the effect of variant gene on expression and to study the effect on the resulting translated protein.

Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotide of group I can all be used to generate the encoded protein or as a probe in hybridization assays.

Inventions II-III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant the invention of group IV encompasses a method for detecting differences in polynucleotide sequences and does not require the transgenic animal of group II or the cell of group III.

Application/Control Number: 09/590,211

Art Unit: 1632

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for

Group I is not required for Groups II-IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Joseph Woitach, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3732. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 to 4:30 (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examine by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Karen Hauda, can be reached on (703) 305-6608.

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/590,211

Art Unit: 1632

repriorition turnor. 03/330,21

directed to Kay Pinkney whose telephone number is (703) 305-3553.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Joseph T. Woitach

Deboral Crouch
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800 1630

Page 5